

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS
MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

Master File No. M:07-CV-01819-CW

MDL No. 1819

This Document Relates to:

**[PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL CASE
MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 1**

ALL ACTIONS

Date: June 1, 2007
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Ctvm: 2
Hon. Claudia Wilken

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil L.R. 16-10, the Court conducted a case management conference on June 1, 2007 and issued an amended case management order and minute order dated June 8, 2007. This supplemental case management order addresses additional matters ordered by the Court or agreed to by the parties:

A. Standing Order

All parties shall abide by this Court's Standing Order.

B. Service of Documents

Efiling through the Court's ECF system shall constitute service for all documents required to be served with the exception of initial service of process on a party.

C. Initial Disclosures Waived

The parties are not required to make the initial disclosures provided by Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26.

D. Case Management Schedule

1. Defendants' Document Production: All charges for copying documents produced by the defendants to the Department of Justice or any Grand Jury for the purposes of making copies available to plaintiffs shall be paid by the plaintiffs. Should any Defendant produce any documents to the Department of Justice or any Grand Jury in connection with the investigation of SRAM chips after June 15, 2007, such defendant shall produce such documents to plaintiffs within 45 days of their production to the Department of Justice and/or any Grand Jury. Documents produced, or deemed produced, in this action shall be treated as outside counsel attorneys'-eyes-only until entry of a stipulated protective order governing production of the documents.

2. Service of Defendants: Plaintiffs shall complete service of the named domestic defendants on or before **June 29, 2007**.

3. Oppositions to Motions Challenging Consolidated Amended Complaints: Oppositions to motions challenging the Consolidated Amended Complaints shall be filed by **November 13, 2007**.

4. Reply in Support of Motions Challenging Consolidated Amended Complaints:

The reply briefs in support of motions challenging the Consolidated Amended Complaints shall be filed by **December 4, 2007**.

5. Full Fact Discovery Commences: Full fact discovery, including but not limited to

written discovery and depositions, shall begin on **June 8, 2008**. The parties reserve all rights to seek protective orders in the event any party serves Requests for Admission.

6. Opt-Outs. In the event one or more classes are certified in these actions, the Court

sets a tentative deadline of **July 15, 2009** for any class member to opt out of the class. However, that date may change, and the actual deadline for class members to opt out of any class will be contained in the notice provided to class members.

Dated: June ____, 2007

HON. CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 **Approved as to form:**

2 Dated: June 14, 2007

3 By /s/
4 Steven N. Williams

5 **Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy**

6 Joseph W. Cotchett (SB No.36324)
7 Steven N. Williams (SB No. 175489)
8 Philip L. Gregory (SB No. 95217)
9 Barbara L. Lyons (SB No. NO. 173548)0
10 Douglas Y. Park (SB No. 233398)
11 San Francisco Airport Office Center
12 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
13 Burlingame, CA 94010
14 Telephone: (650) 697-6000
15 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577

16 *Interim Lead Counsel and Liaison Counsel for the*
17 *Direct-Purchaser Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class*

18 Dated: June 14, 2007

19 By /s/
20 Francis O. Scarpulla

21 **Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP**

22 Francis O. Scarpulla (SB No. 41059)
23 Craig C. Corbitt (SB No. 83251)
24 Pamela E. Woodside (SB No. 226212)
25 Qianwei Fu (SB No. 242669)
26 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
27 San Francisco, CA 94104
28 Telephone: (415) 693-0700
Facsimile: (415) 693-0770

Interim Lead Counsel and Liaison Counsel for the
Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs And the Proposed
Class

